**BAIT2133 Web Engineering Assignment Rubric**

Student’s Full Name: 1.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 2.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**RUBRIC**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Problem Statement** | **Development Plan** | **Elicit Requirement** | **Requirement Model** | **Content Model** |
| **Max Marks** | **5** | **5** | **5** | **10** | **10** |
| **Poor** | The problems & solutions list is incomplete.  (0-1m) | Incomplete and inappropriate plan.  (0-1m) | The elicitation activities and requirements list is incomplete.  (0-1m) | Incomplete and inappropriate use cases, actors, relationship or use case descriptions.  (0-2m) | The Content diagram with attributes and associations incomplete and illogical.  (0-2m) |
| **Average** | The problems & solutions list covers moderate requirements.  (2-3m) | The plan covers moderate requirements.  (2-3m) | The elicitation activities and requirements list covers moderate requirements.  (2-3m) | Complete provision of the required use case diagrams with scenarios, use case descriptions for each use case but contains flaws or omissions in notation and appropriateness.  (3-5m) | The Content diagram with attributes and associations complete but contains flaws or omissions.  (3-5m) |
| **Good** | The problems & solutions are clearly described.  (4m) | The plan are clearly described.  (4m) | The content overall are clearly described.  (4m) | Appropriate label in use case diagrams and the use case descriptions are clearly described.  (6-8m) | The Content diagram is with correct labels, no mistakes in logic design and use of notation.  (6-8m) |
| **Excellent** | The problems & solutions are clearly described and well structured.  (5m) | The plan are clearly described and well structured.  (5m) | The content are comprehensively described and well-structured.  (5m) | The use cases are well organized and the use case descriptions are clearly described and cover impressive aspects of the requirements.  (9-10m) | The content model is with a very good and meaningful label and correct logic.  (9-10m) |
| **Marking** | Student as above | Student as above | Student as above | Student as above | Student as above |
| **Marks** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Comments** |  |  |  |  |  |

**BAIT2133 Web Engineering Assignment Rubric**

Student’s Full Name: 1.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 2.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**RUBRIC**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Hypertext Model** | **Presentation Model** | | **Functional Model** | | **Interaction Model** | | **Configuration Model** |
| **Max Marks** | **10** | **10** | | **10** | | **10** | | **5** |
| **Poor** | The hypertext diagram is incomplete and illogical.  (0-2m) | The presentation model is incomplete and illogical.  (0-2m) | | The functional model flows are incomplete and illogical.  (0-2m) | | The interaction model is incomplete and illogical.  (0-2m) | | The configuration model is incomplete.  (0-1m) |
| **Average** | The hypertext diagram is complete but contains flaws or omissions.  (3-5m) | The presentation model is complete but contains flaws or omissions.  (3-5m) | | The functional model flows show some understanding but contain flaws or omissions.  (3-5m) | | The interaction model is complete with events carried out by boundary, control and entity objects but contains flaws or omissions.  (3-5m) | | The configuration model covers moderate requirements.  (2-3m) |
| **Good** | The hypertext diagram has no mistakes in logic design and use of notation.  (6-8m) | The presentation model is with labeling and no mistakes in design.  (6-8m) | | The functional model is with label and no mistakes in logic design and use of notation.  (6-8m) | | The interaction model is with labelling and no mistakes in logic design and use of notation.  (6-8m) | | The configuration model is clearly described.  (4m) |
| **Excellent** | The hypertext diagram is with very good and meaningful label.  (9-10m) | The presentation model is with very good and meaningful label.  (9-10m) | | The functional model is with very good and meaningful label.  (9-10m) | | The interaction model is with very good and meaningful label.  (9-10m) | | The configuration model is clearly described and well structured.  (5m) |
|  | Student as above | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Student as above |
| **Marks** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Comments** |  |  | |  | |  | |  |